UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 	v
NAYEEM MEHTAB CHOWDHURY,	. A :
Plaintiff, - against -	: <u>VERDICT FORM</u>
WOLDTEL BANGLADESH HOLDING, LTD., and AMJAD HOSSAIN KHAN,	: CV-08-1659 (BMC) :
Defendants.	· ; X
1. Did plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the torture? YES	evidence that defendant Khan is liable for
2. Did plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the Holding, Ltd. is liable for torture? YES	evidence that defendant Worldtel Bangladesh
3. If your answer to either Question 1 and/or Qu find in compensatory damages?	estion 2 is "YES," what is the total amount you
4. If your answer to Question 1 is "YES," did pl that punitive damages should be awarded against	• • • •
YES X NO	
5. If your answer to Question 4 is "YES," what is against defendant Khan?	is the total amount you find in punitive damages
6. If your answer to Question 2 is "YES," did pl that punitive damages should be awarded against	t defendant Worldtel Bangladesh Holding, Ltd.?
YES NOX	

COURT EXHIBIT

Q Cv-08-1659

7. If your answer to Question 6 is "YES," what is the against defendant Worldtel Bangladesh Holding, Ltd.	ne total amount you find in punitive damages d.?
We, the Jury duly empanelled and sworn in the above do find the above verdict.	Foreperson

Dated: Brooklyn, New York August 4, 2009